

My philosophy and feelings since long:

This happened very gradually. I used to feel philosophy in my feelings. But gradually it started to become a logical structure instead of feelings. And that was the reason why I used to forget my philosophies or lack feelings for them and I wasn't feeling them with the intensity I used to feel them. Feelings are really important in philosophy. Without feelings it is only just some set of rules which you're following just because you initially started with feelings and now you're just following what were initial feelings. It's only organised and analysed as logic. Logic is only a depiction of feelings to organise and analyse them. It has a structure and its human brain can analyse it without even feeling. But what makes it in the first place are feelings themselves. As feelings are the doorways to infinite possible philosophies which are not just possible but they are actually what are felt, and humans can even feel objectivity and the things they don't feel just yet. Logic only structures them and organizes them for what is happening in them. It helps you better analyze the feelings. But if you rely upon logic for derivation.(which is also used for quick derivations) But still you will have to check through everything to know whether there is something that is contradicting or same or anything that makes it flawed. We don't feel logic, we feel feelings. So following a logical structure just due to what it gives is a form of dogma. It's the same as following something blindly without even feeling the essence of it. Without feeling it for yourself internally. It doesn't make sense to you. And you won't derive it if there isn't logic. But when there are feelings, Things are imaginable, things are creatable, Things could be felt and you only have to rely upon your sense of intuition for instance to find if there are flaws which is not what I solely rely upon but for instance. Your feelings still let you navigate through logic even if it doesn't exist yet since feelings already exist in you and you know what your feelings are in any philosophy and what it is meaning and feeling like. Philosophy without feeling is nothing but a logical set of rules used for robot programming. Philosophy is an ART and feelings are its essence. Feelings make logic. Logic is only there for analysing. The logic itself originates from feelings and only shall be considered to make it easy for us to navigate, even logic can be made according to ease in navigation or whatever purpose we want it to serve us and our mind. Logic shouldn't be relied upon, since we are essentially just following the structure we created, now we are not the creator, the structure is neither the creator, but what the structure is implying to we consider as logical. Anything it could imply, it does not point towards it is logical or it is what we are feeling. It could be logical since we can make it logical as well, by organising what it is implying, organizing it into something that is serving us. But there aren't any feelings. There isn't any Why? Why are we even doing this? Does it even mean something to us? What's the reason? What's the motivation? Just because it is a structure which itself originated from our feelings we now blindly follow. That's not philosophy. That's neither something a human would do. That isn't felt internally or it has no meaning to humans. We just happened to use our feelings which we created or discovered or imagined in our mind, to create a structure in chaos or randomness which didn't mean anything at first. The logic in chaos itself exists since we exist. So we just happened to make a structure in the chaos which even means nothing without our feelings, and we used to use that tool to follow our feelings with ease. We don't need such a tool but it helps us remember them, it helps us see their relation, "what is affecting what" through something we use from the chaos like stones, or pattern, or geometry, or words. But it is just a structure we created from our feelings. It is not our feelings. Our feelings could change, we have

the possibility to discover endless new feelings and endless new ways of seeing life or universe or everything. As we continue through time, our structure becomes sophisticated. It becomes impressive. We start to see less of our feelings and more of the structure or the logic we created in the chaos. We start to rely upon the creation itself for more creation. But it is just a creation. The creator's mind is what holds immense potential for creation. Unless we create something which is a creator itself. Something as potentially infinite as human brain and human as a creator is yet to be created but still is possible since we are possible, even greater than human is could be possible, since we have been evolved too, not only our existence has to imply this but infinite is possible (if thought ideally). We happen to rely upon our creation more than our mind which is the creator itself. This is what happened to me as well. The reliance on the mind is what opens doors to infinite possibilities while our creations are only limited by what has been created just yet, which themselves were created by the human mind. If we rely upon the human mind, we can create an infinite of such creations instead of just relying upon creation. If we only let the logic or structure or our creation that we create from the chaos, tell us that what we will create or how we will create, We will only become the chaos itself. We will only become as chaotic as chaos. That's the reason why many things in the world are taught to be blindly followed just because it is derived from something we created. Yes, creation could be used as well for creation, but it should not tell us what the creation is going to be. It should only help us to navigate through already known creations, while still knowing that it is just a creation which itself could have its flaws. Nothing can be made ideal, but we can make it even almost like ideal, but the ideal is the horizon that will ever expand further and further. And We will have vast and vast ideals. Ideal and Infinite are both related to each other. Ideal is the creation that is infinitely timeless, in a sense that it is what is truth which is timeless. Ideals are universal. In the universe Light can be seen as ideal so far. But it itself is not the ideal that the human mind and desires can imagine. Even light speed is one of the slowest for us. Ideal expands. The true ideal creations can be made with our mind. Since we imagine and we feel what has a meaning to us even if it is ideal. Like light speed, it is fast in the universe, but it is slow from what our mind desires. The meaning of it for our mind is that it is slow. It feels slow to us. For our motivations, which are to expand in intergalactic space even, it is slow. Human wants themselves originate or i should say come to existence from our motivation. Motivations are what make the human wants exist.

In this time when I was not feeling my feelings properly. I still made a lot of philosophy. My philosophies, some of them are created from feelings with a meaning behind them, some of them are created from logic, or derived from the logic that i use, but still I have relied upon feelings to consider them at all, some of them are created from logic which should be considered with feelings, but not yet considered. I happened to have gotten some of the logics which were really flawed just because they meant nothing, but later i realised that it is only violating feelings and it is not meaning anything for a human.

"If you rely upon the chaos itself, you will become one with the chaos with no meaning". This in other words means "death". Humans relying solely upon Logic without feelings, they will become one like robots, but not the ones who create robots to serve them a purpose. It'll be a major breakthrough in human history if humans make robots sentient, where they will feel like humans, they will not just follow a logical structure. So humans who are already creators, should not rely upon their creations.

“The creator is greater than his creations. He can create infinite creations but one or more of his creations, unless it is a creator itself, can't create the creator. While a creator can even create a creator, even himself or different from himself.”

I'm uploading this on archive.org. I think this writing will make people realise what is being discussed. Even as a person as I am, who supported the creation from infinite possibilities with his imagination, fell into this and made a mistake, huge mistake of relying upon my creations to create and losing touch with my feelings (although not entirely) due to my own unnecessary reasons (I could've done that if i had taken time to consider what is going on). I see this mistake humanity is doing since way longer than i made this mistake, so i imagine how hard it is for those who aren't even realising it, or are willing to take a moment back to reconsider what they are doing, if it has a meaning to it, if has a reason behind it, which means “their own feelings” behind it most importantly, or its just a mere creations, of their own or someone else's they are following, to let it dictate them their future creations.

I might take this writing down from archive.org if I feel I don't want this to be out yet.

All rights reserved.

© 2025 Kshitij